

# INDIGENOUS PEOPLES RIGHTS NATIONAL INQUIRY

Baguio Conference on IP Right to Development | Baguio City | March 2-3, 2017

REGION	ISSUES	GAPS/ CHALLENGES	GOVT RESPONSE	COMMON CHALLENGES	REMARKS
I	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non recognition of the Ips by LGU officials of the IP communities in the region</li> <li>• Selected IPMRs not recognized by LGUs are not given honorarium</li> <li>• Mining in relation to FPIC</li> <li>• Protection of the natural resources</li> <li>• Prioritization of IP teachers within IP community</li> <li>• 4Ps for IP s – identification of IP beneficiary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provisions in IPRA not properly implemented</li> <li>• Mandates of NCIP not fully observed by DENR</li> <li>• Non compliance of IPRA law on selection of teachers</li> <li>• DSWD conduct survey without proper coordination with IP leaders and officials -workshop 1 IPMR CAR- on the issue of identification of IPs beneficiary(p35)Rapporteur: Mr. Conrado M. Quioang, IPMR Ilocos Sur</li> <li>• No action of NCIP for legal matters – not much attention on criminal cases</li> <li>• Inaction on the part NCIP due to LGU concern – IP should also be assertive</li> <li>• IPs trained still seeking for employment – IPs took TESDA skills training but still unemployed</li> <li>• IPs will give ambulance only to those allied-IPs</li> <li>• LGU lack of RHU response</li> <li>• LGU allegedly given AD to non-IPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LGU, NCIP, IPMR- monitoring on ancestral domain title</li> <li>• LGU, NCIP and DILG- IPRA implementation on selection of IPMR</li> <li>• DENR, NIA, LGU- monitoring on safe water and air pollution</li> <li>• DOH-IP health program implementation-JMC at national and local level</li> <li>• DEPED- Development of Culture Responsive Education System</li> <li>• DOLE- Protection to labor, promote full employment and ensure work opportunities for all</li> <li>• AFP recommends the setting up of IP-AFP desk</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of documentation and disaggregation of data on IPs</li> <li>• Lack of capacity to formulate IP-based development proposals</li> <li>• Political interference</li> <li>• Mismatch of programs and plans of National and Local Government Unit</li> <li>• Lack of convergence among government line agencies concerning IP programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DSWD was not represented during this consultation</li> <li>• AFP recommends the establishment of IP desk with IP as the officer .</li> </ul>
II	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Majority of IPs/ICCs and non IPs are not aware on their rights</li> <li>• Discrimination in terms of employment and other benefits / privileges</li> <li>• IPMR selection are hindered by political interventions and lack of knowledge on their rights and duties</li> <li>• Pollution of Adalam river by Oceana Gold</li> <li>• Delay in the issuance of CADT and some govt agencies are not aware of CADT</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No action of NCIP for legal matters – not much attention on criminal cases</li> <li>• Inaction on the part NCIP due to LGU concern – IP should also be assertive</li> <li>• IPs trained still seeking for employment – IPs took TESDA skills training but still unemployed</li> <li>• IPs will give ambulance only to those allied-IPs</li> <li>• LGU lack of RHU response</li> <li>• LGU allegedly given AD to non-IPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LGU, NCIP, IPMR- monitoring on ancestral domain title</li> <li>• LGU, NCIP and DILG- IPRA implementation on selection of IPMR</li> <li>• DENR, NIA, LGU- monitoring on safe water and air pollution</li> <li>• DOH-IP health program implementation-JMC at national and local level</li> <li>• DEPED- Development of Culture Responsive Education System</li> <li>• DOLE- Protection to labor, promote full employment and ensure work opportunities for all</li> <li>• AFP recommends the setting up of IP-AFP desk</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of documentation and disaggregation of data on IPs</li> <li>• Lack of capacity to formulate IP-based development proposals</li> <li>• Political interference</li> <li>• Mismatch of programs and plans of National and Local Government Unit</li> <li>• Lack of convergence among government line agencies concerning IP programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DSWD was not represented during this consultation</li> <li>• AFP recommends the establishment of IP desk with IP as the officer .</li> </ul>
III	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mabagal na pagproseso ng CADT</li> <li>• Pollution of the Adalam river by Oceana Gold – Province of Nueva Viscaya reported by <b>Mr. Victor L. Gandingan, IPMR Quezon</b></li> <li>• Mapatigil ang pagiisyu ng ibang titulo ng DENR, DAR (Session 7 workshop 3)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No action of NCIP for legal matters – not much attention on criminal cases</li> <li>• Inaction on the part NCIP due to LGU concern – IP should also be assertive</li> <li>• IPs trained still seeking for employment – IPs took TESDA skills training but still unemployed</li> <li>• IPs will give ambulance only to those allied-IPs</li> <li>• LGU lack of RHU response</li> <li>• LGU allegedly given AD to non-IPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LGU, NCIP, IPMR- monitoring on ancestral domain title</li> <li>• LGU, NCIP and DILG- IPRA implementation on selection of IPMR</li> <li>• DENR, NIA, LGU- monitoring on safe water and air pollution</li> <li>• DOH-IP health program implementation-JMC at national and local level</li> <li>• DEPED- Development of Culture Responsive Education System</li> <li>• DOLE- Protection to labor, promote full employment and ensure work opportunities for all</li> <li>• AFP recommends the setting up of IP-AFP desk</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of documentation and disaggregation of data on IPs</li> <li>• Lack of capacity to formulate IP-based development proposals</li> <li>• Political interference</li> <li>• Mismatch of programs and plans of National and Local Government Unit</li> <li>• Lack of convergence among government line agencies concerning IP programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DSWD was not represented during this consultation</li> <li>• AFP recommends the establishment of IP desk with IP as the officer .</li> </ul>
IV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of access to legal assistance</li> <li>• Low revenue sharing of income in tourism</li> <li>• Lack of health services utilization</li> <li>• Lack of budget for IPMRs</li> <li>• Difficulty for employment</li> <li>• Lack of communication equipment for IP</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No action of NCIP for legal matters – not much attention on criminal cases</li> <li>• Inaction on the part NCIP due to LGU concern – IP should also be assertive</li> <li>• IPs trained still seeking for employment – IPs took TESDA skills training but still unemployed</li> <li>• IPs will give ambulance only to those allied-IPs</li> <li>• LGU lack of RHU response</li> <li>• LGU allegedly given AD to non-IPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LGU, NCIP, IPMR- monitoring on ancestral domain title</li> <li>• LGU, NCIP and DILG- IPRA implementation on selection of IPMR</li> <li>• DENR, NIA, LGU- monitoring on safe water and air pollution</li> <li>• DOH-IP health program implementation-JMC at national and local level</li> <li>• DEPED- Development of Culture Responsive Education System</li> <li>• DOLE- Protection to labor, promote full employment and ensure work opportunities for all</li> <li>• AFP recommends the setting up of IP-AFP desk</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of documentation and disaggregation of data on IPs</li> <li>• Lack of capacity to formulate IP-based development proposals</li> <li>• Political interference</li> <li>• Mismatch of programs and plans of National and Local Government Unit</li> <li>• Lack of convergence among government line agencies concerning IP programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DSWD was not represented during this consultation</li> <li>• AFP recommends the establishment of IP desk with IP as the officer .</li> </ul>

## Summary of IP situation in Luzon

<b>Current IP Situation in the Region</b>	<b>Response of the Government</b>	<b>How to improve govt. programs as viewed by Ips</b>	<b>Status of Monitoring as viewed by IPMRs</b>	<b>Non-govt. Agencies perspective on IP rights monitoring</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Lack of IP Recognition</li> <li>-Funding is a major concern</li> <li>-Inadequate Social Services</li> <li>-NCIP capacity to uphold IP rights</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Observed non-responsiveness</li> <li>-Inadequate services</li> <li>-Lack of Observance of IP cultural norms</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Better information about IPs warranted</li> <li>-May require legislative Intervention</li> <li>-Need for Greater convergence of government efforts</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Monitoring Mechanism are present</li> <li>-Generally effective in scoping IP concerns</li> <li>-Technical, Funding and Physical Limitations to monitoring</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Multi-level monitoring mechanisms exist</li> <li>-General belief that monitoring is effective</li> <li>-Challenge of enhanced convergence of all NGA efforts</li> </ul>